ERIE POLICE DEPARTMENT



CITY OF ERIE, PENNSYLVANIA



Policies and Procedures

Subject: Use of Force	Policy Number: 4.1
Effective Date: April 01, 2002	Revision Date: January 1, 2021
Approval Authority Title and Signature: Chief Daniel Spizarny	
Title and Signature: Chief Daniel Spizarny	

POLICY:

This policy recognizes that the use of force by law enforcement officers requires constant evaluation. These are dynamic events. Even at its lowest level, the use of force by police is a serious responsibility. The purpose of this policy is to provide officers of this department with guidelines and accountability on the reasonable use of force.

This policy consists of principles and values guiding the performance of The City of Erie Police Department activity. It is not a statement of what must be done in a particular situation; it is a statement of the guiding principles to be followed in activities directed toward attainment of the department's objectives of serving our community and the importance of treating all persons with dignity and respect.

DISCUSSION:

The use of force by law enforcement personnel is a matter of critical concern both to the public and to the law enforcement community. Officers are involved in numerous and varied human encounters on a daily basis, effective communication is sometimes enough to resolve many situations and, where warranted, Officers may be required use force in carrying out the duties assigned to them.

Officers must have an understanding of and a true appreciation for the limitations of their authority, particularly with respect to overcoming resistance from those with whom they come in official contact. Unnecessary and/or unreasonable use of force is a violation of the 4th Amendment; it is unconstitutional and extremely dangerous to officers and citizens. This department has the ability and responsibility to detect and take steps to prevent the use of unreasonable force by their officers.

Page 1 of 10

This Department recognizes and respects the value and dignity of all human life without prejudice to anyone. It is also understood that vesting officers with the authority to use reasonable force and protect the public welfare requires a careful balancing of all human interests.

The force used must be "objectively reasonable" under the <u>Graham v. Connor</u> [(1989) 490 U.S. 386, 109 S.Ct. 1865] standard. Under this critical standard, the force used by the officer is evaluated in light of the totality of the facts and circumstances known to the officer at the time the force is used. Factors considered are minimally the severity of the crime at issue, whether the suspect poses an immediate threat to the safety of law enforcement officers or others, and whether the suspect is actively resisting arrest or attempting to evade arrest by flight. The evaluation of force is also made without regard to the officer's underlying motive or intent, and is evaluated using the "reasonable officer standard."

 Under this policy, all uses of force are reportable except for de minimis force, which is described as physical interaction meant to separate, guide, and/or control that does not cause pain or injury. For example, using hands or equipment to stop, push back, separate or escort and handcuffing without resistance or enduring pain.

It is recognized that officers have no duty to retreat from resistance or threatened resistance, and are not considered the aggressors when lawfully attempting to overcoming resistance in effecting an arrest. [Reed v. Hoy 909 F.2d 324 (9th Cir. 1989)]

De-escalation tactics, active listening, proper and effective communication, building empathy/rapport are actions that should be used by officers, when safe and without compromising law enforcement priorities. De-escalation may increase the likelihood of voluntary compliance. De-escalation more broadly refers to the strategic slowing down of an incident in a manner that allows officers more time, distance, space and tactical flexibility during dynamic situations on the street. A warning before any force is used should be given if practicable and when safe.

'In judging whether [an officer's] actions were reasonable, we must consider the risk of bodily harm that [an officer's] actions posed to [a suspect] in light of the threat to the public that [the officer] was trying to eliminate" [Scott v. Harris 550 U.S. 372, 383 (2007)]

Force by officers can be said to have one of two purposes: The first is defense, the second is control. Officers may use force in self-defense or in the defense of others. They may also use force to control the subject's actions; to affect an arrest, overcome resistance, and/or prevent escape.

Police officers are vested by the authority they are given by statute to use force when necessary to protect the public welfare, maintain the peace and dignity of the

- Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and the jurisdiction of the Erie Police Department.
- Therefore, the following policy is enacted under the authority of the Chief of Police:

Deprivation of rights under color of law

Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, will be held accountable.

There is no racial element in a 'civil rights' violation, the "civil rights" designation comes from the fact that these cases involve the abuse of official governmental power.

Officers are required by their position as peace officers to intervene in any situation they perceive as unconstitutional. This intervention may take the form of one or more of the following three actions: Strongly caution the officer, and/or physically restrain the officer, and immediately report the incident without delay.

LEGALITY: reference

- [a] Title 18, Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, sections 501, 503, 508
- [b] Graham v. Connor [(1989) 490 U.S. 386, 109 S. Ct. 1865]
- [c] Tennessee v. Garner 105 S. Ct. 1694 (1985)
- [d] Scott v. Harris 550 U.S. 372, 383 (2007)
- [e] Reed v. Hoy 909 F.2d 324 (9th Cir. 1989)
- [f] Title 53, Municipal Police Education and Training (MPOETC)
- [g] 18 U.S.C 242 Deprivation of rights

PROCEDURES:

 1) A peace officer, or any person, whom he has summoned or directed to assist him, need not retreat or desist from efforts to make a lawful arrest because of resistance or threatened resistance to the arrest. He is justified in the use of any force, which he reasonably believes to be necessary to affect the arrest and of any force which he reasonably believes to be necessary to defend himself or another from bodily harm while making the arrest. However, he is justified in using deadly force only when he believes that such force is necessary to prevent death or serious bodily injury to himself or such other person, or when he believes only that:

(i) Such force is necessary to prevent the arrest from being defeated by resistance or escape; and

Use of	Force	Page 4 of 10	
	(ii)	The person to be arrested has committed or attempted a forcible felony or is attempting to escape and possesses a deadly weapon, or otherwise indicates that he will endanger human life or inflict serious bodily injury unless arrested without delay.	
2)	use of	the officer making an arrest pursuant to an invalid warrant is justified in the any force which he would be justified in using if the warrant were valid, he knows the warrant is invalid.	
3)	Use of	f force to prevent escape-	
	(i)	A peace officer or other person who has an arrested person in his custody is justified in the use of such force to prevent the escape of the arrested person from custody as he would be justified in using if he were arresting such person.	
4)	Use of	force to prevent suicide or the commission of crime.	
	(i)	The use of force upon or toward the person of another is justifiable when the actor believes that such force is immediately necessary to prevent such other person from committing suicide, inflicting serious bodily injury upon their self.	
		Officers should be prepared to exercise considerable discretion when dealing with persons with impaired faculties or diminished mental state and to wait (develop a plan/utilize addition resources) as long as reasonable so that the situation may be resolved peacefully.	
	(ii)	The use of force upon or toward the person of another is justifiable when the Officer believes that such force is immediately necessary to prevent such other person from committing or consummating the commission of a crime involving or threatening bodily injury, damage to or loss of property or a breach of the peace, except that:	
		(a) Any limitations imposed by other provisions of this policy on the justifiable use of force in self- protection, for the protection of others, the protection of property, the effectuation of an arrest or the prevention of escape from custody shall apply in spite of the criminality of the conduct against which such force is used.	
		(ii) The use of deadly force is not in any event justifiable under this subsection unless: The Officer believes that there is a substantial	

1 Us	se of Force	Page 5 of 10
2		
3		risk that the person whom he seeks to prevent from committing a
4		crime will cause death or serious bodily injury to another unless
5		the commission or the consummation of the crime is prevented and
6		that the use of such force presents no substantial risk of injury to
7		innocent persons; or
8		
9	(iii)	The justification afforded by this subsection extends to the use of
0		confinement as preventative force only if the Officer takes all
1		reasonable measures to terminate the confinement as soon as he
2		knows that he safely can, unless the person confined has been
3		arrested on a charge of crime.
4		
5 DI	EPARTMENTAL	CONSIDERATION:

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32 33

34

35

36

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45 It is the policy of this department that Officers shall use only that amount and duration of force which is reasonable and necessary given the facts and circumstances perceived by the officer at the time of the event to effectively bring an incident under control.

Retaliatory force or force that appears to have been applied as punishment for the person's earlier verbal or physical resistance to an officer's command, and is not based on a current threat posed by the person is unreasonable and not legally justifiable.

Members of the department shall only carry those specific weapons issued or authorized by the Erie Police Department. Members shall carry only the weapons for which they are qualified either through the department, or with the authorization of the Chief of Police. Members carrying weapons not authorized shall be subject to disciplinary action.

Members shall be cognizant and not limited to the use of any implement, to include an automobile, on another, is a use of force.

Supervisors should immediately respond to any scene:

- Where a weapon is reported use or threatened
- Where persons with mental health problems are 'acting out'
- Where a dispatcher or other member of the department believes there is potential for use of force.

Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation an officer might encounter in the field, it is recognized that each officer must be entrusted with wellreasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident. While it is the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter to minimize injury to everyone involved, nothing in this policy requires an officer to actually sustain physical injury before applying reasonable force.

Use of Force

Page 6 of 10

1 2 3

As noted, any application of force by a member of this department must be judged by a standard of "objective reasonableness" at the moment force is used. When determining whether or not to apply any level of force and evaluating whether an officer has used objectively reasonable force, a number of factors should be taken into consideration.

6 7

4

5

Those factors may include, but are not limited to:

8 9

- 10 1. The reasonable perception of the officer of the conduct of the individual being confronted.
- Officer/subject factors (age, size, relative strength, skill level, injury/exhaustion, number of officers vs. subjects, etc.).
- 14 3. Influence of drugs/alcohol (mental capacity and physical capabilities).
- 15 4. Proximity of weapons to the subject.
- 5. Availability of other options (what resources are reasonably available to the officer under the circumstances presented).
- 18 6. Seriousness of the suspected offense(s) leading the officer to use force.
- 7. Training and experience level of the officer.
- 20 8. Potential for injury to citizens, officers, and the suspect(s).
- 21 9. Risk of escape.
- 22 10. Other exigent circumstances.

2324

25

26

Officers are expected to make split-second decisions in tense, uncertain, and rapidly evolving situations, and the amount of time to evaluate and respond to unexpected changes may impact an officer's decision in the application and duration of force. The officer's judgment does not have to be the "best" decision, only a reasonable decision.

27 28 29

While various levels of force exist, each officer is expected to respond with that level and duration of force which reasonably appears appropriate under the circumstances at the time to successfully accomplish the legitimate law enforcement purpose in accordance with this policy.

32 33 34

30

31

DEADLY FORCE CONSIDERATIONS:

3536

Deadly Force is defined as the intentional application of force through the use of firearms or any other means reasonably likely to cause death or serious physical injury.

373839

40

41

All officers should be immediately able to justify the use of deadly force by articulating the objective and reasonable belief that their life or another's were in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, given the totality of the facts or circumstances known to them at the time force was used.

42 43

Use of Force Page 7 of 10

Choke holds are generally prohibited. A chokehold shall include, but is not limited to, any pressure to the throat or windpipe, which may prevent or hinder breathing or reduce intake of air. This type of hold is considered deadly force and should not be used except

in those situations where the rules of the use of deadly force have been met and it is reasonable and necessary for their application. Officers must be fully prepared to justify this extreme action.

Warning shots are generally prohibited, except in certain extreme situations. Officers electing to fire a warning shot must be fully prepared to justify this extreme action.

Firing from a moving vehicle is generally prohibited, except in certain extreme situations. Police officers should not discharge their firearms from a moving vehicle unless deadly physical force is being used against the police officer or another person present. An officer choosing to fire from a moving vehicle must be fully prepared to justify this extreme action. Officers shall NOT fire at a vehicle that is no longer an imminent threat.

Firing into a moving vehicle is generally prohibited, except in certain extreme situations or in situations of defense of self or others. Police officers shall not discharge their firearms at a moving vehicle unless deadly physical force is being used against the police officer or another person present. An officer choosing to fire at a fleeing vehicle must be fully prepared to justify this extreme action. Officers shall NOT fire at a vehicle that is no longer an imminent threat.

REPORTING USE OF FORCE:

Members employing any use of force shall notify their supervisor as soon as is practicable and shall document in detail the use of all force on an initial offense report with all details. A good accountability system begins with an appropriate record of the facts. Use of Force reports should 'document in detail' the incident and include:

- 1. The name of the officer who used force
- 2. The names of other officers present, whether or not they used force,
- 36 3. A detailed narrative describing the circumstances, and the valid law enforcement objective, that led up to the contact with the subject
- A detailed description of the circumstances that led up to the contact with the subject
- 40 5. A detailed narrative describing the words, actions or behaviors of the subject that precipitated the need for force
- 42 6. A detailed narrative describing any force and non-force actions used, how those actions furthered the intended law enforcement objective, and the observed results
 - 7. A detailed narrative describing any force clearly observed being used by other officers during this incident

Use of Force	Page 8 of 10
--------------	--------------

- 8. A detailed narrative describing any apparent injury to the subject, any complaint of injury, or the lack of injury, including information regarding any medical aid or medical evaluation provided
- 9. The names of the supervisors who responded to the incident and notifications made.
- 10. All identified witnesses (non-police) present

1 2

The Patrol Supervisor and/or OIC shall be notified of a use of force incident and shall conduct an objective, impartial, and complete review of the incident. If any BWC video captures any use of force, the officer's BWC shall be taken to the E.P.D. by the first available supervisor and uploaded into the DEMS. The supervisor will review the use of force report for completeness and identify strengths and weaknesses when necessary. All discrepancies with policy and/or legal standards shall be referred to the Office of the Chief or his designee.

If the use of ANY force resulted in death or serious bodily injury the OIC shall:

The OIC shall immediately notify the DEMS Administrator of the incident and request the video footage be restricted from being viewed by all departmental personnel except for the Police Chief, Inspector and the appropriate investigative unit and D.A.'s office. However, the Police Chief or their designee may approve access to other individuals or entities if necessary or required by court order.

Once the recorded media is uploaded, stored, and restricted from view, the BWC will be returned to the officer, as soon as possible.

Notify the Chief of Police and/or Assistant Chief of Police, the Office of Professional Standards, and other Command Staff members. The Chief of Police or designee shall notify the District Attorney's Office and the president of the Fraternal Order of Police.

The Office of Professional Standards shall immediately begin conducting an investigation into the justification of the use of force. This force investigation serves as the basis for reviewing the force incident to determine whether the officer acted both lawfully and consistently with departmental policy, as well as to determine whether the incident raises policy, training, tactical, or equipment concerns that need to be addressed for officer and civilian safety. (Ref: 2.23 Internal Affairs)

The Office of Professional Standards will confer with the Office of the District Attorney and decide if another law enforcement entity should be involved in the continuing investigation. The use of outside law enforcement entity will allow for an open and independent investigation into all of the circumstances of the incident.

Use of Force Page 9 of 10

The Commander, Office of Criminal Investigation, or designee, shall have overall control of the criminal investigation/incident scene, and shall make all proper notifications to include the District Attorney, Coroner, etc.

In cases involving the use of a firearm by an officer, the officer is prohibited from, unloading, reloading, or altering the firearm in any manner following the incident. The on scene supervisor shall secure the officer's weapon and provide the officer with another weapon at that time. The Officers' weapon shall be secured as evidence.

In cases involving a death of another by a member of the department, such member shall only be returned to duty after the officer has been determined to be ready for duty by competent medical and/ or mental health professionals. The officer shall then be returned to duty provided the internal investigation is complete and the actions of the officer found to be justified. (Ref: 2.24 Critical Incident Investigations and Review)

This policy is not intended to suggest, imply or indicate that the officer has acted in an improper manner, used unreasonable force or violated citizen rights. All legal considerations (Garrity, Miranda etc.) shall be made to the officers involved.

The officer involved shall make him / herself available at all times for official interviews or statements regarding the incident. In the event the officer is leaving the Erie area the officer shall notify the Chief of Police or designee.

The officer shall not discuss the incident with anyone except representatives of the department, Erie County District Attorney's Office representatives, the officer's bargaining unit representatives, private attorney, or mental/ medical professional.

PROVISIONS OF MEDICAL SERVICES:

In all instances where a person under the officer's control has received physical injury as a result of use of force, or any other reason, the officer shall notify their supervisor and immediately seek medical assistance when necessary.

Police officers are not trained nor allowed to make a medical assessment beyond determining that a subject's behavior or situation is unusual and requires medical attention. While police officers cannot and should not attempt to make a diagnosis, they should be aware that some of the symptoms they may be encounter during patrol activities mimic and may be mistaken as criminal conduct.

Officers should be aware of these unusual mental health and/or medical characteristics such as anxiety, rapid emotional changes, sweating, shaking, hallucinations, hyperthermia, paranoia (may lead to lashing out) and seizures and should seek medical

assistance when necessary.

Use of Force

Page 10 of 10

Department personnel shall be responsible for provisions of medical treatment for all injured persons, for those requesting medical treatment, or those appearing to need medical care.

ADMISTRATIVE REVIEW OF CRITICAL INCIDENTS:

All reported use of force incidents shall be reviewed by division command personnel for determination of the following: (1) Adherence to department policies and procedures. (2)

That the relevant policy was clearly understandable and effective, and that departmental training was relevant and current.

All findings of policy violations or training inadequacy shall be reported to the Chief of Police with recommendations for possible discipline, resolution, policy or training modification and/or tactical or equipment concerns that need to be addressed for officer and civilian safety.

Some critical incidents will be reviewed under Policy # 2.24 Critical Incident Investigation and Review. Critical Incident Reviews are intended to provide additional information to improve police response, enhance risk management, increase public safety and reduce potential department liability. It also analyzes the Departments response to ensure that it reflects current best practices and addresses the need for any changes to policies, procedures and/or training.

SUMMARY:

The decision to use force rests with each officer. The Erie Police Department recognizes that community trust and confidence in the police is critical to effective policing and is achieved largely through fair and equitable treatment of the public. While there is no way to specify the exact duration or type of reasonable and necessary force to be applied in any situation, each officer is expected to use these guidelines to make reasonable force decisions in a professional, impartial, and safe manner.

37 End.